DEFAMATION, TERMINATION AND ACCOMMODATION:

A Breakdown of Workplace Issues for Employers

CLARK WILSON

Andrea Raso, Partner Brendan Morley, Partner Anne Amos-Stewart, Associate Debbie Preston, Associate

1av 11. 2021

EX-EMPLOYEES AND SOCIAL MEDIA: Dealing with Defamation

Summary

- 1. Defamation
- 2. Specific Issues in Employment

CLARK WILSON

Social Media

- Social Media had radically changed how we shop, how we learn about news, and how we interact with each other.
- Social Media has sped up the process of information sharing.
- Social Media has made it easier to share that information.
- It is instant.

Employment Context

- 1. Defaming Current Employer
- 2. Defaming a Former Employer
- 3. Defaming a Fellow Employee
- 4. Defaming a Company's Services or Products

CLARK WILSON



Defamation

What is defamation?

- The action of damaging the good reputation of someone; slander or libel
 - Slander: spoken
 - Libel: recorded
- Tort
- Governed by the Common Law and the Libel and Slander Act

Defamation – Test

Stage 1:

 A statement is defamatory if it would tend to lower the reputation of the person to whom it refers in the eyes of a reasonable person

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Test

Stage 2: Does one of the defences apply?

- There are five defences to Defamation:
 - Justification / Truth
 Absolute Privilege
 - Qualified Privilege
 - Fair Comment
 - Responsible Communication

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Defences

JUSTIFICATION / TRUTH

- Justification is a defence that the defamatory expression at issue is true in substance and in fact.
- Complete defence.
- What is true cannot be defamatory.

Defamation – Defences

ABSOLUTE PRIVILEGE

- A Defence extending to all statements made by high executive officers in the performance of their official duties relating to matters of state.
- All statements said in Parliament.
- All statements said in Court.
- Complete Defence.

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Defences

QUALIFIED PRIVILEGE

- The defence will apply when:
 - 1. the defendant had an interest or duty to make the statement, and
 - 2. the person hearing or reading the statement had a corresponding interest or duty to receive it.

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Defences

QUALIFIED PRIVILEGE (cont'd)

- Occasion is privileged
- Examples:
 - Teacher reporting abuse of a child
 - Witness communicating to police

Defamation – Defences

QUALIFIED PRIVILEGE (cont'd)

- Will be defeated by malice.
- Will not apply if audience is too broad.

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Defences

FAIR COMMENT

- Expression of opinion
- Defence will apply in the following circumstance:
 - a) the comment must be on a matter of public interest;
 - b) the comment must be based on fact;
 - c) the comment, though it can include inferences of fact, must be recognizable as comment;
 - d) the comment must satisfy the following objective test: could any person honestly express the opinion on the proved facts?; and
 - e) the defence can be defeated if the plaintiff proves that the defendant was subjectively actuated by express malice.
 - detendant was subjectively actuated by express mance.

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Defences

RESPONSIBLE COMMUNICATION

- Also known as "Responsible Journalism" and "Public Interest"
- The defence protects defendants against liability for false and defamatory facts in circumstances where the publisher has acted responsibly in attempting to verify information on a matter of public interest.
- The defence is thus grounded in the conduct of the publisher rather than the content of the publication.

Defamation – Defences

RESPONSIBLE COMMUNICATION (cont'd)

- The Factors the Court will consider are:
 - a) The seriousness of the allegation;
 - b) The public importance of the matter;
 - c) The urgency of the matter;d) The status and reliability of the source;
 - e) Whether the plaintiff's side of the story was sought and accurately reported;
 - f) Whether the inclusion of the defamatory statement was justifiable;
- Whether the defamatory statement's public interest lay in the fact that it
 was made rather than its truth ("reportage"); and
 Any other relevant circumstances. An individual's reasonable
 expectation of privacy must be respected in this determination.

CLARK WILSON

EX-EMPLOYEES AND SOCIAL MEDIA: Dealing with Defamation

2. SPECIFIC ISSUES IN **EMPLOYMENT**

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Practical Concerns

- Unwanted Publicity (the "Streisand Effect")
- Unintended antagonism
- Employment context
 - Effect on Marketing, Reputation, and Public Relations
 - Effect on Morale
 - Potential Backfire
- Standard Litigation concerns:
 - Expensive
 - Time consuming

Defamation – Practical Concerns

Have you possibly been defamed?

- Preserve the Evidence
 - Social media posts are deliberately easy to remove
 - How?
 - Screen shot any images
 - Download and videos or gifs

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Practical Concerns

- Have you possibly been defamed? (cont'd)
- Do NOT overreact
- Take steps to remove the defamatory post
 - Sending a demand letter to the poster
 - Corresponding with the social media company itself

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Practical Concerns

Have you possibly been defamed? (cont'd)

- What if you do not know who posted?
 - Will require a court order in order to obtain ISP
 - Usually means starting a law suit
 - Internet provider generally will not take a position

Defamation – Practical Concerns

Have you possibly been defamed?(cont'd)

- If you start an action, you will be putting their reputation and character into evidence.
- This can be a very big deal.

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Practical Concerns

- Are you being accused of defaming someone?
- Did you publish the statement?
- What appetite do you have to fight about it?
- Can the post be removed?

CLARK WILSON

Defamation – Practical Concerns Are you being accused of defaming someone? (cont'd) Would you consider retracting the statement and apologise?

TRIALS AND TRIBULATIONS OF TERMINATIONS

WHAT TO DO AND NOT DO WHEN ENDING EMPLOYMENT

CLARK WILSON

Where to Start: Employment vs. Labour; Jurisdiction

- Collective agreement?
- Federal or provincial regulation?

CLARK WILSON

Where to Start: Kinds of Terminations

BY EMPLOYER

- Termination for just cause (aka termination for cause or with cause)
- Termination without cause
- Constructive dismissal
- Layoff ≠ termination (sort of)

BY EMPLOYEE

• Resignation

TIP: Avoid assuming you have just cause to terminate

What to Give: Entitlements on Termination

- Termination with just cause = no notice or pay in lieu of notice
- Termination without cause = it depends
 - Common law (reasonable notice) \rightarrow generally 24-month max
 - Written employment contract
 - Employment Standards Act \rightarrow 8 week max (+ any group termination pay (16-week max))

TIP: A written employment agreement with an enforceable termination provision is the most important tool for employer protection

CLARK WILSON

What to Give: Entitlements on Termination

- Resignation = it depends
 - Written employment contract
 - Common law (reasonable notice)
- Waiver \rightarrow pay in lieu of notice required
- Voluntary?

TIP: Accept the resignation in writing

CLARK WILSON

What to Give: Notice or Pay in Lieu?

- Notice = working notice
 - CONS
 - Motivation
 - Retaliation
 - Confidentiality
 - PROS
 - Payments spread out
 - Work in return for pay
 - Possible resignation

What to Say: Termination Meeting

- Privacy
- 2 employer representatives
- Be brief
- Be truthful
- No access
- Personal items
- Getting home
- Notes

CLARK WILSON

What to Say: Post-Termination

- Pay within 48 hours
- Record of employment (5 calendar days)
- Internal announcement \rightarrow "need to know" standard
- Reference checks \rightarrow recommendation vs. employment confirmation
 - Defamation
 - Human rights
 - Privacy



Accommodation – What is it?

Under human rights law, employers are subject to duty to accommodate employees with disabilities, up to point of undue hardship

- Duty to take all reasonable steps to avoid negative effect on a personal characteristic
- Can arise at any time and without intent
- Includes direct and indirect discrimination
- "Undue hardship" where reasonable accommodations are financially difficult, unduly extensive, substantial or disruptive

CLARK WILSON

Employer Obligations

- Review policies, procedures, practices and activities to identify and remove discriminatory barriers
- Consider employee's request in good faith
- Work with employee to consider possible accommodations
- Ask questions to clarify information needed to respond to request
- Maintain confidentiality
- Grant request in timely manner, to point of undue hardship

CLARK WILSON

Employee Obligations

- Tell employer/union rep about individual circumstances that relate to protected ground(s)
- Discuss changes that can be made to accommodate needs
- Share information with employer
 - May include medical information verifying disability, setting limitations
- Fully participate in process
- Be reasonable, not all accommodations appropriate or feasible
- Be open to alternative suggestions, not about employee
 - preferences

Duty to Accommodate and Covid-19

Family status accommodation and Covid-19

- Child care obligations can trigger duty
- Not legally obligated to accommodate a conflicting schedule
- Employee must demonstrate "serious interference with a substantial parental or other family duty"

Employees may also request an accommodation because they reside with an at-risk family member

CLARK WILSON

Accommodation and Mental Health Issues

Gordy v. Oak Bay Marina Ltd., 2004 BCHRT 225 (cont'd)

- Fishing guide terminated from position at Oak Bay Marina after entering manic phase of bipolar disorder
- Employer argued assuming safety risk of continuing to employ guide amounted to undue hardship
- Tribunal disagreed, found Employer didn't have accurate information about bipolar disorder or likelihood of relapse
- Decision appealed to BC Supreme Court
 - Set aside Tribunal's decision, finding it erred in failing to consider observations of managers
 - Decision appealed

CLARK WILSON

Accommodation and Mental Health Issues

Gordy v. Oak Bay Marina Ltd., 2004 BCHRT 225 (cont'd)

- BC Court of Appeal upheld the decision, agreed Employer entitled to rely on personal observations of employees
 - Case referred back to Tribunal
- Tribunal returned to initial decision,
 - Found Employer's knowledge of employee's condition was justified concern and could form part of risk assessment
 - However, duty required Employer to undergo full assessment, including educating themselves about bipolar disorder in general and risk of relapse, prior to properly assessing risk

Failure to Accommodate

Failure to accommodate considered infringement on human rights legislation

- Various remedies available under s. 37 of the BC Human Rights Code
 - Employer may be required to pay damages for injuries to dignity, feelings & self respect, lost wages, punitive damages and back wages
 - » University of British Columbia v. Kelly, [2016 BCCA 271] Resident in Family Medicine awarded \$75,000 for injury to dignity
 - Employer may be required to reinstate employee

CLARK WILSON

Failure to Accommodate - Can be Costly!

Datt v. McDonald's Restaurants of Canada Ltd., [2007] B.C.H.R.T.D. No. 324 (cont'd)

- Restaurant required frequent handwashing, employee developed painful skin condition, went on short term disability, saw specialist, attempted to return to work three times
- Duties were never modified, employee felt she could do certain jobs
- McDonald's provided a 3-month job search program, then terminated employee November 2004
- Panel found McDonald's did not ask right questions in attempt to accommodate, made no real attempt to find work available for employee
- Tribunal awarded \$35,000 for wage loss and \$25,000 due to emotional & financial stress of being terminated

CLARK WILSON

Tips for Employers

- Ask the right questions, clarify ambiguous or contradictory information
- Rely on doctors for expertise and knowledge
- Ensure doctors have full information about job duties, functions of current job and other jobs being considered
- Document process to accommodate the employee
- Identify problems with possible accommodations, gather evidence determine if amounts to "undue hardship"
- Maintain regular, direct contact with employee
- Do a final review of the information and the process

